

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,315	02/08/2002	Yuji Sawada	56924 (70551)	3720
21874 7:	590 03/25/2005	•	EXAM	INER
	& ANGELL, LLP		BURGE, L	ONDRA C
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2178	
·			2170 DATE MAII ED: 03/25/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/049,315	SAWADA, YUJI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Londra C Burge	2178		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 February 2002			
	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice ur				
Disposition of Claims	•			
	antina n			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applic				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2002</u>		objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t				
	·	a omoo nonon or torri i i o roz.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
·				
application from the International E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.		
•				
·				
Attachment(s)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-96) 	Paper Not	s)/Mail Date		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper Not	s)/Mail Date Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/049,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Original Application and IDS filed 2/8/2002.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are pending and claims 1, 8, 15, 17 and 18 are independent claims.
- 3. This action has been made Non-Final.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The Information Disclosure Statement filed 2/8/2002 has been accepted by the examiner.

Drawings

5. The drawings filed 2/8/2002 have been accepted by the examiner.

Priority

6. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/049,315 filed on 2/8/2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2178

Claim 1, 2, 5-9, 12-15, 17, 18, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubin et al. (herein after Rubin) U.S. Patent No. 6,820,111 B1 filed 12/7/1999 in view of Iwai et al. (herein after Iwai) U.S. Patent No. 5,367,623 filed 9/5/1991.

In regard to independent claim 1, Rubin discloses said part data unit including display data divided into a plurality of regions with said first identifier added thereto (Rubin Col 5 Lines 60-67, Col 6 Lines 1-30 and Figures 5-11 i.e. a user can select or identify a portion or region of a screen for view and this screen is displayed in regions as shown in Figures 5-11).

Rubin does not specifically disclose electronic book contents comprising a body data unit and a part data unit, said body data unit including event data including a description for designating a display method and a first identifier for designating contents displayed on a display region. However, Iwai discloses this process (Iwai Col 3 Lines 39-42 i.e. identifying input data to process for the electronic book). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Iwai to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of identifying the data to display in region of the electronic view, which will make viewing easy for the user and also enable a user to select a region first before invoking a formatting command to ensure the user can format the correct region that they select as taught by Rubin Col 6 Lines 23-30).

In regard to dependent claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Rubin discloses wherein said event data includes a description for designating said display method for each page mad said first identifier. (Rubin Col 2 Lines 44-53 i.e. format depends on the characteristics of the document)

Art Unit: 2178

In regard to dependent claim 5, which depends on claim 1, Rubin discloses wherein said body data unit includes a plurality of event data corresponding to a plurality of display forms. (Rubin Col 16 Lines 28-67 and Col 17 Lines 1-21 i.e. default built-in documents for the formatting of documents)

In regard to dependent claim 6, which depends on claim 1, Rubin discloses wherein said electronic book contents comprise a plurality of body data units corresponding to types of electronic book display apparatuses. (Rubin Col 16 Lines 28-67 and Col 17 Lines 1-21 i.e. default built-in documents types for the formatting of documents)

In regard to dependent claim 7, which depends on claim 1, Rubin discloses wherein said body data unit further includes chapter structure information describing a chapter structure of a book, and said chapter structure information describes information for designating a method of controlling trial reading for each chapter. (Rubin Col 18 Lines 18-30 i.e. sections or chapters used)

In regard to independent claim 8, claim 8 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 1 and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 9, which depends on claim 8, claim 9 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 2 and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 12, which depends on claim 8, claim 12 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 5 and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 13, which depends on claim 8, claim 13 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 6 and is rejected along the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2178

In regard to dependent claim 14, which depends on claim 8, claim 14 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 7 and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 15, Rubin discloses said part data unit including display data divided into a plurality of regions with said first identifier added thereto (Rubin Figures 5-11) an event reading unit reading said event data; an object reading unit referring to the first identifier in the event data read by said event reading unit to read the display data in said part data unit; and a display unit displaying the display data read by said object reading unit according to the description for designating the display region in the event data read by said event reading unit. (Rubin Col 16 Lines 28-67 and Col 17 Lines 1-21 i.e. mentions information and documents that have been read by the user)

Rubin does not specifically disclose electronic book contents comprising a body data unit and a part data unit, said body data unit including event data including a description for designating a display method and a first identifier for designating contents displayed on a display region. However, Iwai discloses this process (Iwai Col 3 Lines 39-42 i.e. identifying input data to process for the electronic book). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Iwai to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of identifying the data to display in region of the electronic view, which will make viewing easy for the user.

In regard to independent claim 17, Rubin discloses said part data unit including display data divided into a plurality of regions with said first identifier added thereto. (Rubin Col 12 Lines 11-40) (Rubin Figures 5-11)

Art Unit: 2178

Rubin does not specifically disclose an electronic book transmission apparatus transmitting electronic book contents including a body data unit and a part data unit, said body data unit including event data including a description for designating a display method and a first identifier for designating contents displayed on a display region. However, Iwai discloses this process (Iwai Col 3 Lines 39-42 i.e. identifying input data to process for the electronic book). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Iwai to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of identifying the data to display in region of the electronic view, which will make viewing easy for the user.

In regard to independent claim 18, Rubin discloses said part data unit including display data divided into a plurality of regions with said first identifier added thereto. (Rubin Col 12 Lines 11-40) (Rubin Figures 5-11)

Rubin does not specifically disclose said electronic book contents including a body data unit and a part data unit, said body data unit including event data including a description for designating a display method and a first identifier for designating contents displayed on a display region. However, Iwai discloses this process (Iwai Col 3 Lines 39-42 i.e. identifying input data to process for the electronic book). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Iwai to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of identifying the data to display in region of the electronic view, which will make viewing easy for the user.

In regard to dependent claim 20, which depends on claim 5, Rubin discloses wherein said plurality of event data include at least a double-page spread display-dedicated event, a single page display-dedicated event and an event executable for both a double-page spread

Art Unit: 2178

display and a single page display. (Rubin Col 6 Lines 1-6 i.e. display side-by-side pages like a book as well as single pages)

Page 7

In regard to dependent claim 22, which depends on claim 12, claim 22 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 20 and is rejected along the same rationale.

9. Claims 3, 10, 16, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubin et al. (herein after Rubin) U.S. Patent No. 6,820,111 B1 filed 12/7/1999 in view of Iwai et al. (herein after Iwai) U.S. Patent No. 5,367,623 filed 9/5/1991 as applied to claim 1 and in further view of Tonomura et al. (hereinafter Tonomura) U.S. Patent No. 6,571,054 B1 filed 11/9/1998.

In regard to dependent claim 3, which depends on claim 1, Rubin does not specifically disclose wherein said event data further includes a second identifier for designating sound data to be reproduced, and said part data unit further includes the sound data divided into a plurality of regions with said second identifier added thereto. However, Tonomura mentions the sound and speech can be accompanied in the document (Tonomura Col 8 Lines 19-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Tonomura to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of including sound data in the dividing process, which would ensure that sound associated with the data in correctly divided.

In regard to dependent claim 10, which depends on claim 8, claim 10 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 3 and is rejected along the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2178

In regard to dependent claim 16, which depends on claim 15, Rubin does not specifically disclose said event data further includes a second identifier for designating sound data to be reproduced, said part data unit further includes the sound data divided into a plurality of regions with said second identifier added thereto, and said electronic book display apparatus further comprises: a sound object reading unit referring to the second identifier in the event data read by said event reading unit to read the sound data in said part data unit; and a reproduction unit reproducing the sound data read by said sound object reading unit. However, Tonomura mentions the sound and speech can be accompanied in the document the is read by the user (Tonomura Col 8 Lines 19-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Tonomura to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of including sound data in the dividing process, which would ensure that sound associated with the data in correctly divided.

In regard to dependent claim 19, which depends on claim 3, Rubin discloses data includes information for designating a trail reading control method. (Rubin Col 16 Lines 28-67 and Col 17 Lines 1-21 i.e. mentions information and documents that have been read by the user)

Rubin does not specifically disclose wherein each of said display data and sound. However, Tonomura mentions the sound and speech can be accompanied in the document and is read by the user (Tonomura Col 8 Lines 19-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Tonomura to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of including sound data in the dividing process, which would ensure that sound associated with the data in correctly divided.

Art Unit: 2178

In regard to dependent claim 21, which depends on claim 10, claim 21 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 19 and is rejected along the same rationale.

10. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubin et al. (herein after Rubin) U.S. Patent No. 6,820,111 B1 filed 12/7/1999 in view of Iwai et al. (herein after Iwai) U.S. Patent No. 5,367,623 filed 9/5/1991 as applied to claim 1 and in further view of Tonomura et al. (hereinafter Tonomura) U.S. Patent No. 6,571,054 B1 filed 11/9/1998 and in further view of Shwarts et al. (herein after Shwarts) U.S. Patent No. 5,524,201 filed 11/4/2003.

In regard to dependent claim 4, which depends on claim 3, Rubin does not specifically disclose wherein said display data includes text data and image data, and at least two types of copyright information are described for said text data, said image data and said sound data. However, Shwarts mentions that copyrights can be used (Shwarts Col 2 Lines 20-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Shwarts to Rubin, providing Rubin the benefit of including copyright information to include in the process of dividing into regions, which would enhance the process.

In regard to dependent claim 11, which depends on claim 10, claim 11 reflects similar subject matter claimed in claim 4 and is rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,315 Page 10

Art Unit: 2178

DeRose et al.

U.S. Patent No. 6,105,044

issued

8/15/2000

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Londra C Burge whose telephone number is (571) 272-4122. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LCB 3/9/2005

CESAR PAULA PRIMARY EXAMINER